

Hydro-Chemical Evaluation of Ground Water Quality in Meenambalam, Kollam District, Kerala, India

Naveen V, Chithira Venu

Abstract— The suitability of groundwater quality for domestic and drinking purposes was assessed in Meenambalam area based on the various water quality parameters. Five groundwater samples were collected and analysed for parameters like pH, electrical conductivity, turbidity, total dissolved solids, dissolved oxygen, bio-chemical oxygen demand, acidity, alkalinity, total hardness, calcium hardness and cations (Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+}).

Index Terms— Acidity, Alkalinity, Bio-chemical oxygen demand, Dissolved oxygen, Electrical conductivity, Groundwater quality, Meenambalam area, Suitability for drinking purposes, Total dissolved solids, Total hardness, Water quality parameters

1. INTRODUCTION

Quality of water is an important factor when human health is considered. As the quality of water decreases, the effects on human health will increase. So it is essential to evaluate the groundwater quality in a region. Water quality determines the suitability of water for a particular purpose [1]. The main source of water resource for drinking and agricultural purposes is groundwater. So importance of the groundwater quality in an area should not be underestimated [2].

Hydro-chemical characteristics of groundwater of Meenambalam region, Kollam district, Kerala, India was selected to evaluate the suitability of groundwater for drinking and domestic purposes and to estimate the Water quality Index (WQI). The co-ordinate of the region is $8^{\circ}48'41''\text{N } 76^{\circ}44'16''\text{E}$. Water quality index is a very useful tool for communicating the information on general quality of water to the citizens in the study area [3]. Hydro-chemical characteristics and contamination of groundwater in rural areas as well as in urban areas that caused due to the anthropogenic intervention like agricultural activities, industrial and domestic waste water were the main focus

area of many researchers [4]



Fig.1. Location map

2. OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this study were

1. To evaluate the ground water quality in Meenambalam area, Kollam district, Kerala, India.
2. To determine the quantity of various physical and chemical parameters of groundwater in the study area.
3. To evaluate the suitability of groundwater for drinking purpose and to estimate the Water Quality Index.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The current study was done to investigate the conditions of groundwater contamination in the

- Naveen V, is currently pursuing Masters degree in Civil Engineering in UKFCET, India, PH-7356819558. E-mail: naveenv840@outlook.com
- Chithira Venu, is currently working as an Assistant Professor in UKFCET, India, PH-8129153562. E-mail: chithiravenu@gmail.com

study area. The hydro-chemical evaluation was done by collecting 5 groundwater samples from the wells in Meenabalam area during February - March, 2016. Prior to sampling, the sampling containers (prewashed plastic polythene bottles) were cleaned and rinsed with the groundwater. The electrical conductivity and pH was measured immediately after the sampling was completed. The pH values were measured with the help of digital pH meter and the electrical conductivity values were measured with the help of conductivity meter. Turbidity was determined using Nephelometer and all other chemical analysis was completed within the sampling day itself. Titration method was used to determine the concentration of various constituents in the groundwater.

In this study the water quality index is calculated based on the standards of drinking water quality recommended by the Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS) [15], Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) [16] and World Health Organisation (WHO) has been used for the calculation of WQI of the water body.

The overall Water Quality Index calculated by aggregating the quality rating with the unit weight linearly.

$$\text{Water Quality Index} = \frac{\sum q_n W_n}{\sum W_n}$$

Where quality rating or sub index (q_n) was calculated using the following expression.

$$q_n = 100 \times (V_n - V_{io}) / (S_n - V_{io})$$

Let there be n water quality parameters and quality rating or sub index (q_n) corresponding to n^{th} parameter is a number reflecting the relative value of this parameter in the polluted water with respect to its standard permissible value

q_n =Quality rating for the n^{th} Water quality parameter.

V_n =Estimated value of the n^{th} parameter at a given sampling station.

S_n =Standard permissible value of the n^{th} parameter.

V_{io} =Ideal value of n^{th} parameter in pure water, (i.e.,0 for all other parameters except the parameter pH and Dissolved oxygen (7.0 and 14.6 mg/L respectively).

Unit weight was calculated by a value inversely proportional to the recommended standard value S_n of the corresponding parameter.

$$W_n = K / S_n$$

W_n =Unit weight for the n^{th} parameters.

S_n =Standard value for n^{th} parameters.

K =Constant for proportionality.

Where $K = 1 / \sum (1 / S_n)$

Table 1: Status and Index level (WQI) of water quality [13][14]

| Water quality status | Water Quality Index Level |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Excellent water quality | 0 - 25 |
| Good water quality | 26 - 50 |
| Poor water quality | 51 - 75 |
| Very Poor water quality | 76 - 100 |
| Unsuitable for drinking | >100 |

Table 2: Instrumental and Volumetric methods used for the hydro-chemical analysis of groundwater in Meenambalam area, Kollam district, Kerala, India

| Parameter | Method | Unit |
|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| pH | Electrometric | - |
| EC | Electrometric | $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ |
| Turbidity | Nephelometric | NTU |
| TDS | EC x Conversion factor | mg/l |
| DO | Azide modification | mg/l |
| BOD | 5 day BOD test | mg/l |
| Hardness | EDTA titrimetric | mg/l |
| Ca^{2+} | EDTA titrimetric | mg/l |
| Mg^{2+} | Calculation | mg/l |
| Acidity | Titration | mg/l |
| Alkalinity | Titration | mg/l |

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analytical results of hydro-chemical analysis of groundwater samples collected from different

sampling sites of Meenambalam area, Kollam district, Kerala, India. Table 2 presents the hydro-chemical characteristics of groundwater in the study area.

Table 3: Hydro-chemical characteristics of groundwater in Meenambalam area, Kollam district, Kerala, India

| Sample | Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm) | pH |
|--------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | 115.4 | 3.93 |
| 2 | 69.9 | 6.84 |
| 3 | 132.9 | 5.32 |
| 4 | 128.7 | 4.78 |
| 5 | 143.7 | 5.52 |
| 6 | 151.9 | 6.88 |
| 7 | 169.3 | 6.70 |
| 8 | 145.4 | 6.96 |
| 9 | 172.3 | 7.13 |
| 10 | 144.1 | 6.91 |
| Sample | Turbidity (NTU) | TDS (mg/l) |
| 1 | 5 | 73.86 |
| 2 | 3 | 44.74 |
| 3 | 5 | 85.06 |
| 4 | 3 | 82.37 |
| 5 | 4 | 91.97 |
| 6 | 1 | 97.22 |
| 7 | 2 | 108.35 |
| 8 | 1 | 93.06 |
| 9 | 2 | 110.27 |
| 10 | 2 | 92.22 |
| Sample | Total Hardness (mg/l) | Calcium Hardness (mg/l) |
| 1 | 16 | 12 |
| 2 | 24 | 22 |
| 3 | 24 | 12 |
| 4 | 28 | 20 |
| 5 | 24 | 12 |
| 6 | 22 | 16 |
| 7 | 16 | 12 |
| 8 | 26 | 22 |
| 9 | 20 | 14 |
| 10 | 28 | 20 |

| Sample | Calcium (mg/l) | Magnesium (mg/l) |
|--------|-------------------|------------------|
| 1 | 4.810 | 0.972 |
| 2 | 8.818 | 0.486 |
| 3 | 4.810 | 2.916 |
| 4 | 8.016 | 1.944 |
| 5 | 4.810 | 2.916 |
| 6 | 6.413 | 1.458 |
| 7 | 4.810 | 0.972 |
| 8 | 8.818 | 0.972 |
| 9 | 5.611 | 1.458 |
| 10 | 8.016 | 1.944 |
| Sample | DO (mg/l) | BOD (mg/l) |
| 1 | 3.24 | 0.81 |
| 2 | 5.67 | 1.62 |
| 3 | 6.08 | 1.22 |
| 4 | 3.24 | 1.03 |
| 5 | 6.48 | 2.43 |
| 6 | 4.86 | 1.13 |
| 7 | 4.03 | 1.08 |
| 8 | 5.67 | 1.32 |
| 9 | 6.68 | 2.47 |
| 10 | 5.25 | 1.22 |
| Sample | Alkalinity (mg/l) | Acidity (mg/l) |
| 1 | 8 | 36 |
| 2 | 18 | 16 |
| 3 | 16 | 30 |
| 4 | 12 | 32 |
| 5 | 10 | 28 |
| 6 | 18 | 14 |
| 7 | 20 | 16 |
| 8 | 24 | 12 |
| 9 | 28 | 10 |
| 10 | 24 | 12 |

Table 4: Average, Maximum and Minimum values of the parameters

| Parameter | Avg. | Max. | Min. |
|---------------------------------|--------|-------|------|
| Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm) | 137.36 | 172.3 | 69.9 |
| pH | 6.10 | 7.13 | 3.93 |

| Parameter | Avg. | Max. | Min. |
|-------------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Turbidity (NTU) | 2.8 | 5 | 1 |
| TDS (mg/l) | 87.91 | 110.27 | 44.74 |
| Alkalinity (mg/l) | 17.80 | 28.00 | 8.00 |
| Acidity (mg/l) | 20.60 | 36.00 | 10.00 |
| Total Hardness (mg/l) | 22.80 | 28.00 | 16.00 |
| Calcium Hardness (mg/l) | 16.20 | 22.00 | 12.00 |
| Calcium (mg/l) | 6.49 | 8.82 | 4.81 |
| Magnesium (mg/l) | 1.60 | 2.92 | 0.97 |
| DO (mg/l) | 5.12 | 6.68 | 3.24 |
| BOD (mg/l) | 1.43 | 2.47 | 0.81 |

pH is a measure of the balance between the concentration of hydrogen ions and hydroxyl ions in water. The pH of water provides vital information in many geochemical equilibrium or solubility calculations [5]. For drinking water the limit of pH is specified as 6.5-8.5 [6]. The pH value of the groundwater samples varies from 3.93 to 7.13, which clearly shows that most of the groundwater samples in the study area are slightly acidic in nature. Drinking water with an elevated pH above 11 can cause skin, eye and mucous membrane irritation. On the opposite end of the scale, pH values below 4 also cause irritation due to the corrosive effects of low pH levels. Extreme pH levels can worsen existing skin condition [7].

Electrical conductivity (EC) is a measure of water capacity to convey electric current. The desirable limit of electrical conductivity in drinking water

is 1500 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ [6]. The electrical conductivity of samples in this area varies from 69.9 to 172.3 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. The electrical conductivity of all samples is below the desirable limit and the value depends on the temperature, concentration and type of ions present in it [5]. The relative difference in water quality between different aquifers can be identified with the help of electrical conductivity values [8].

The total dissolved solids in the groundwater samples vary between 44.74 to 110.27mg/l, with an average value of 87.91mg/l. According to World Health Organisation (WHO) the maximum permissible limit of the total dissolved solids (TDS) is 1500mg/l and the desirable value is 500mg/l [9]. From the values obtained the groundwater in Meenambalam area contains TDS which is below to the desired value specified by the WHO.

Water that has high mineral content can be considered as hard water and the threshold limit of total hardness is 300mg/l [10]. The groundwater samples from the study area contain no hardness and the values of both total hardness and calcium hardness are well below the threshold limit. Since the concentration of total hardness is low the groundwater in this region will not cause any gall bladder issues, urinary stones and arthritis [11].

Calcium is one of the essential nutritional elements for humans and it is required to prevent cardiac disorders and for the proper functioning of metabolic processes. Calcium concentration varies from 4.81 to 8.82mg/l and the calcium concentration for drinking water is specified as 75mg/l [12]. From this we can conclude that the samples fall well below the required concentration. Similarly magnesium content in samples varies from 0.97 to 2.92mg/l. The maximum permissible limit of magnesium concentration of drinking water is specified as 100mg/l [12] and the groundwater in the study area contains magnesium concentration less than the permissible limit.

Amount of oxygen that is present in the water is the dissolved oxygen content and it is measured in milligrams per litre (mg/l), or the number of

milligrams of oxygen dissolved in a litre of water. A dissolved oxygen level that is too high or too low can harm aquatic life and affect water quality. Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) also called biological oxygen demand is the amount of dissolved oxygen needed by aerobic biological organisms to break down organic material

present in a given water sample at certain temperature over a specific time period. BOD of safe drinking water must be 0mg/l and most pristine rivers will have a 5-day carbonaceous BOD below 1 mg/l. The samples have BOD values that lie in the range of 0.81 to 2.47mg/l.

Table 5: Drinking Water standards recommending Agencies and unit weights

| Sl. No. | Parameters | Standards | Unit | Recommended |
|---------|---------------------------|-----------|-------|-------------|
| 1 | pH | 6.5-8.5 | - | ICMR/BIS |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 300 | µS/cm | ICMR |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 500 | mg/l | ICMR/BIS |
| 4 | Total Alkalinity | 200 | mg/l | ICMR |
| 5 | Total hardness | 300 | mg/l | ICMR/BIS |
| 6 | Calcium | 75 | mg/l | ICMR/BIS |
| 7 | Magnesium | 30 | mg/l | ICMR/BIS |
| 8 | Dissolved Oxygen | 5 | mg/l | ICMR/BIS |
| 9 | Biochemical Oxygen Demand | 5 | mg/l | ICMR |
| 10 | Turbidity | 5 | NTU | BIS |

Table 6: Water Quality Index Calculation of S1

| Sl. No. | Parameters | Observed Values | Standard Values (Sn) | Unit Weight (Wn) | Quality Rating (qn) | Wn.qn |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 1 | pH | 3.93 | 6.5-8.5 | 0.1512 | 204.67 | 30.950 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 115.40 | 300 | 0.0043 | 38.47 | 0.165 |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 73.86 | 500 | 0.0026 | 14.77 | 0.038 |
| 4 | Total Alkalinity | 8.00 | 200 | 0.0064 | 4.00 | 0.026 |
| 5 | Total hardness | 16.00 | 300 | 0.0043 | 5.33 | 0.023 |
| 6 | Calcium | 4.81 | 75 | 0.0171 | 6.41 | 0.110 |
| 7 | Magnesium | 0.97 | 30 | 0.0428 | 3.24 | 0.139 |
| 8 | Dissolved Oxygen | 3.24 | 5 | 0.2571 | 118.33 | 30.419 |
| 9 | Biochemical Oxygen Demand | 0.81 | 5 | 0.2571 | 16.19 | 4.163 |
| 10 | Turbidity | 5.00 | 5 | 0.2571 | 100.00 | 25.708 |
| Water Quality Index = $\frac{\sum qnWn}{\sum Wn}$ | | | 91.74 | $\sum Wn$ | $\sum qn$ | $\sum Wnqn$ |
| | | | | 1.00 | 511.41 | 91.74 |

Table 7: Water Quality Index Calculation of S2

| Sl. No. | Parameters | Observed Values | Standard Values (Sn) | Unit Weight (Wn) | Quality Rating (qn) | Wn.qn |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 1 | pH | 6.84 | 6.5-8.5 | 0.1512 | 10.67 | 1.613 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 69.9 | 300 | 0.0043 | 23.30 | 0.100 |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 44.74 | 500 | 0.0026 | 8.95 | 0.023 |
| 4 | Total Alkalinity | 18 | 200 | 0.0064 | 9.00 | 0.058 |
| 5 | Total hardness | 24 | 300 | 0.0043 | 8.00 | 0.034 |
| 6 | Calcium | 8.82 | 75 | 0.0171 | 11.76 | 0.201 |
| 7 | Magnesium | 0.486 | 30 | 0.0428 | 1.62 | 0.069 |
| 8 | Dissolved Oxygen | 5.67 | 5 | 0.2571 | 93.01 | 23.911 |
| 9 | Biochemical Oxygen Demand | 1.62 | 5 | 0.2571 | 32.40 | 8.329 |
| 10 | Turbidity | 3 | 5 | 0.2571 | 60.00 | 15.425 |
| Water Quality Index = $\frac{\sum qnWn}{\sum Wn}$ | | | 49.76 | $\sum Wn$ | $\sum qn$ | $\sum Wnqn$ |
| | | | | 1.00 | 258.70 | 49.76 |

Table 8: Water Quality Index Calculation of S3

| Sl. No. | Parameters | Observed Values | Standard Values (Sn) | Unit Weight (Wn) | Quality Rating (qn) | Wn.qn |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 1 | pH | 5.32 | 6.5-8.5 | 0.1512 | 112.00 | 16.937 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 132.9 | 300 | 0.0043 | 44.30 | 0.190 |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 85.06 | 500 | 0.0026 | 17.01 | 0.044 |
| 4 | Total Alkalinity | 16 | 200 | 0.0064 | 8.00 | 0.051 |
| 5 | Total hardness | 24 | 300 | 0.0043 | 8.00 | 0.034 |
| 6 | Calcium | 4.81 | 75 | 0.0171 | 6.41 | 0.110 |
| 7 | Magnesium | 2.916 | 30 | 0.0428 | 9.72 | 0.416 |
| 8 | Dissolved Oxygen | 6.08 | 5 | 0.2571 | 88.79 | 22.826 |
| 9 | Biochemical Oxygen Demand | 1.22 | 5 | 0.2571 | 24.30 | 6.247 |
| 10 | Turbidity | 5 | 5 | 0.2571 | 100.00 | 25.708 |
| Water Quality Index = $\frac{\sum qnWn}{\sum Wn}$ | | | 72.56 | $\sum Wn$ | $\sum qn$ | $\sum Wnqn$ |
| | | | | 1.00 | 418.54 | 72.56 |

Table 9: Water Quality Index Calculation of S4

| Sl. No. | Parameters | Observed Values | Standard Values (Sn) | Unit Weight (Wn) | Quality Rating (qn) | Wn.qn |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 1 | pH | 4.78 | 6.5-8.5 | 0.1512 | 148.00 | 22.381 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 128.7 | 300 | 0.0043 | 42.90 | 0.184 |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 82.37 | 500 | 0.0026 | 16.47 | 0.042 |
| 4 | Total Alkalinity | 12 | 200 | 0.0064 | 6.00 | 0.039 |
| 5 | Total hardness | 28 | 300 | 0.0043 | 9.33 | 0.040 |
| 6 | Calcium | 8.02 | 75 | 0.0171 | 10.69 | 0.183 |
| 7 | Magnesium | 1.944 | 30 | 0.0428 | 6.48 | 0.278 |
| 8 | Dissolved Oxygen | 3.24 | 5 | 0.2571 | 118.33 | 30.421 |
| 9 | Biochemical Oxygen Demand | 1.03 | 5 | 0.2571 | 20.60 | 5.296 |
| 10 | Turbidity | 3 | 5 | 0.2571 | 60.00 | 15.425 |
| Water Quality Index = $\sum qnWn / \sum Wn$ | | | 74.29 | $\sum Wn$ | $\sum qn$ | $\sum Wnqn$ |
| | | | | 1.00 | 438.81 | 74.29 |

Table 10: Water Quality Index Calculation of S5

| Sl. No. | Parameters | Observed Values | Standard Values (Sn) | Unit Weight (Wn) | Quality Rating (qn) | Wn.qn |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 1 | pH | 5.52 | 6.5-8.5 | 0.1512 | 98.67 | 14.920 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 143.7 | 300 | 0.0043 | 47.90 | 0.205 |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 91.97 | 500 | 0.0026 | 18.39 | 0.047 |
| 4 | Total Alkalinity | 10 | 200 | 0.0064 | 5.00 | 0.032 |
| 5 | Total hardness | 24 | 300 | 0.0043 | 8.00 | 0.034 |
| 6 | Calcium | 4.81 | 75 | 0.0171 | 6.41 | 0.110 |
| 7 | Magnesium | 2.916 | 30 | 0.0428 | 9.72 | 0.416 |
| 8 | Dissolved Oxygen | 6.48 | 5 | 0.2571 | 84.57 | 21.742 |
| 9 | Biochemical Oxygen Demand | 2.43 | 5 | 0.2571 | 48.60 | 12.494 |
| 10 | Turbidity | 4 | 5 | 0.2571 | 80.00 | 20.566 |
| Water Quality Index = $\sum qnWn / \sum Wn$ | | | 70.57 | $\sum Wn$ | $\sum qn$ | $\sum Wnqn$ |
| | | | | 1.00 | 407.27 | 70.57 |

Table 11: Water Quality Index Calculation of S6

| Sl. No. | Parameters | Observed Values | Standard Values (Sn) | Unit Weight (Wn) | Quality Rating (qn) | Wn.qn |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 1 | pH | 6.88 | 6.5-8.5 | 0.1512 | 8.00 | 1.210 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 151.9 | 300 | 0.0043 | 50.63 | 0.217 |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 97.22 | 500 | 0.0026 | 19.44 | 0.050 |
| 4 | Total Alkalinity | 18 | 200 | 0.0064 | 9.00 | 0.058 |
| 5 | Total hardness | 22 | 300 | 0.0043 | 7.33 | 0.031 |
| 6 | Calcium | 6.41 | 75 | 0.0171 | 8.55 | 0.147 |
| 7 | Magnesium | 1.458 | 30 | 0.0428 | 4.86 | 0.208 |
| 8 | Dissolved Oxygen | 4.86 | 5 | 0.2571 | 101.45 | 26.080 |
| 9 | Biochemical Oxygen Demand | 1.13 | 5 | 0.2571 | 22.52 | 5.789 |
| 10 | Turbidity | 1 | 5 | 0.2571 | 20.00 | 5.142 |
| Water Quality Index = $\sum qnWn / \sum Wn$ | | | 38.93 | $\sum Wn$ | $\sum qn$ | $\sum Wnqn$ |
| | | | | 1.00 | 251.79 | 38.93 |

Table 12: Water Quality Index Calculation of S7

| Sl. No. | Parameters | Observed Values | Standard Values (Sn) | Unit Weight (Wn) | Quality Rating (qn) | Wn.qn |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 1 | pH | 6.70 | 6.5-8.5 | 0.1512 | 20.00 | 3.024 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 169.3 | 300 | 0.0043 | 56.43 | 0.242 |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 108.35 | 500 | 0.0026 | 21.67 | 0.056 |
| 4 | Total Alkalinity | 20 | 200 | 0.0064 | 10.00 | 0.064 |
| 5 | Total hardness | 16 | 300 | 0.0043 | 5.33 | 0.023 |
| 6 | Calcium | 4.81 | 75 | 0.0171 | 6.41 | 0.110 |
| 7 | Magnesium | 0.972 | 30 | 0.0428 | 3.24 | 0.139 |
| 8 | Dissolved Oxygen | 4.03 | 5 | 0.2571 | 110.09 | 28.302 |
| 9 | Biochemical Oxygen Demand | 1.08 | 5 | 0.2571 | 21.50 | 5.527 |
| 10 | Turbidity | 2 | 5 | 0.2571 | 40.00 | 10.283 |
| Water Quality Index = $\sum qnWn / \sum Wn$ | | | 47.77 | $\sum Wn$ | $\sum qn$ | $\sum Wnqn$ |
| | | | | 1.00 | 294.68 | 47.77 |

Table 13: Water Quality Index Calculation of S8

| Sl. No. | Parameters | Observed Values | Standard Values (Sn) | Unit Weight (Wn) | Quality Rating (qn) | Wn.qn |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 1 | pH | 6.96 | 6.5-8.5 | 0.1512 | 2.67 | 0.403 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 145.4 | 300 | 0.0043 | 48.47 | 0.208 |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 93.06 | 500 | 0.0026 | 18.61 | 0.048 |
| 4 | Total Alkalinity | 24 | 200 | 0.0064 | 12.00 | 0.077 |
| 5 | Total hardness | 26 | 300 | 0.0043 | 8.67 | 0.037 |
| 6 | Calcium | 8.82 | 75 | 0.0171 | 11.76 | 0.201 |
| 7 | Magnesium | 0.972 | 30 | 0.0428 | 3.24 | 0.139 |
| 8 | Dissolved Oxygen | 5.67 | 5 | 0.2571 | 93.01 | 23.911 |
| 9 | Biochemical Oxygen Demand | 1.32 | 5 | 0.2571 | 26.42 | 6.792 |
| 10 | Turbidity | 1 | 5 | 0.2571 | 20.00 | 5.142 |
| Water Quality Index = $\sum qnWn / \sum Wn$ | | | 36.96 | $\sum Wn$ | $\sum qn$ | $\sum Wnqn$ |
| | | | | 1.00 | 244.84 | 36.96 |

Table 14: Water Quality Index Calculation of S9

| Sl. No. | Parameters | Observed Values | Standard Values (Sn) | Unit Weight (Wn) | Quality Rating (qn) | Wn.qn |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 1 | pH | 7.13 | 6.5-8.5 | 0.1512 | 8.67 | 1.311 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 172.3 | 300 | 0.0043 | 57.43 | 0.246 |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 110.27 | 500 | 0.0026 | 22.05 | 0.057 |
| 4 | Total Alkalinity | 28 | 200 | 0.0064 | 14.00 | 0.090 |
| 5 | Total hardness | 20 | 300 | 0.0043 | 6.67 | 0.029 |
| 6 | Calcium | 5.61 | 75 | 0.0171 | 7.48 | 0.128 |
| 7 | Magnesium | 1.458 | 30 | 0.0428 | 4.86 | 0.208 |
| 8 | Dissolved Oxygen | 6.68 | 5 | 0.2571 | 82.49 | 21.206 |
| 9 | Biochemical Oxygen Demand | 2.47 | 5 | 0.2571 | 49.42 | 12.705 |
| 10 | Turbidity | 2 | 5 | 0.2571 | 40.00 | 10.283 |
| Water Quality Index = $\sum qnWn / \sum Wn$ | | | 46.26 | $\sum Wn$ | $\sum qn$ | $\sum Wnqn$ |
| | | | | 1.00 | 293.07 | 46.26 |

Table 15: Water Quality Index Calculation of S10

| Sl. No. | Parameters | Observed Values | Standard Values (Sn) | Unit Weight (Wn) | Quality Rating (qn) | Wn.qn |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 1 | pH | 6.91 | 6.5-8.5 | 0.1512 | 6.00 | 0.907 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 144.1 | 300 | 0.0043 | 48.03 | 0.206 |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 92.22 | 500 | 0.0026 | 18.44 | 0.047 |
| 4 | Total Alkalinity | 24 | 200 | 0.0064 | 12.00 | 0.077 |
| 5 | Total hardness | 28 | 300 | 0.0043 | 9.33 | 0.040 |
| 6 | Calcium | 8.02 | 75 | 0.0171 | 10.69 | 0.183 |
| 7 | Magnesium | 1.944 | 30 | 0.0428 | 6.48 | 0.278 |
| 8 | Dissolved Oxygen | 5.25 | 5 | 0.2571 | 97.43 | 25.046 |
| 9 | Biochemical Oxygen Demand | 1.22 | 5 | 0.2571 | 24.46 | 6.288 |
| 10 | Turbidity | 2 | 5 | 0.2571 | 40.00 | 10.283 |
| Water Quality Index = $\sum qnWn$ / $\sum Wn$ | | | 43.36 | $\sum Wn$ | $\sum qn$ | $\sum Wnqn$ |
| | | | | 1.00 | 272.87 | 43.36 |

From the water quality index calculations, the samples S2, S6, S7, S8, S9 and S10 are of good quality. Samples S1, S3, S4 and S5 are of poor quality and require some treatment before consumption.

5. CONCLUSION

Analysis reveals that the groundwater of the study area needs certain degree of treatment before consumption. Also the groundwater needs to be protected from the perils of contamination. The groundwater in the study region i.e. Meenambalam, Kollam district, Kerala, India is slightly acidic and lacks the required quantity of calcium, which is essential nutritional element for humans and it is required to prevent cardiac disorders and for the proper functioning of metabolic processes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank UKF College of Engineering and Technology for opportunity and we would also express our gratitude towards Dr. K. Mophin Kani and Dr. Anu N for their support.

REFERENCES

[1] Narsimha, A., Anitha, N., Sudarshan, V., Manjulatha., 2013, *Evaluation of groundwater quality and its suitability for drinking purposes in Gunthakal Area, Ananthapur District,*

Andhra Pradesh, India, Advances in Applied Science Research, 4(2), 70-76.

[2] Kac,arog`lu F, Eskis,ehir Ovası yeraltısuyu kirlilig in the Eskis,ehir plain). Ph.D. theses, Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, University of Hacettepe, Ankara, 1991, Turkey

[3] Ahmad, I, Khwakaram., Salih, N, Majid., Nzar, Y, Hama., 2012, *Determination of water quality index (wqi) for qalyasan stream in sulaimani city/kurdistan region of Iraq, International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences, 2(4), 148-157.*

[4] Singh, U. K., Kumar, M., Chauhan, R., Jha, P. K., Ramanathan, A. L., & Subramanian, V, *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 2008, 141, 309-321.*

[5] Hem J.D, *Study and interpretation of the chemical characteristics of natural waters, 3rd edn. USGS Water Supply Paper. 2254, 1985, pp 117-120.*

[6] WHO (World Health Organization), *Guidelines for drinking water quality. World Health Organisation, 2004, Geneva.*

[7] WHO (World Health Organization), *Guidelines for drinkingwater quality. vol 2, Health criteria and other supporting information, WHO Publ, Geneva, 1984, 335.*

[8] Roscoe, M, Roscoe Moss Company, *handbook of ground water development (p. 493). New York: 1990, Wiley.*

[9] Davis S.N, De Wiest R.J.M, *Hydrogeology, 1966, vol 463. Wiley, New York.*

[10] BIS, *Indian standard drinking water specifications IS10500:1991, edition 2.2 (2003-2009) Bureau of Indian Standards, 2003, New Delhi.*

[11] Garg V.K, Suthar S, Singh S, Sheoran A, Meenakshi Garima, Jai S., *Env Geol, 2009, 58:1329-1340*

[12] ISI, *Indian standard specification for drinking water. 1993, ISI 10500, New Delhi*

- [13] Chatterjee A.A., *Water quality of Nandakanan lake, India.* *J. Environ. Hlth.*, 34 (4), 329-333 (1992)
- [14] Chaterjee C. and Raziuddin M., *Determination of water quality index (WQI) of a degraded river in Asanol Industrial area, Raniganj, Burdwan, West Bengal, Nature, Environment and pollution Technology.*, 1 (2), 181-189 (2002)
- [15] Umamheshwari S. and Anbu Sarayanan N., *Water Quality of Cauvery River Basin Trichinappalli, India, IJLR.*, 2 (1),1-20 (2009)
- [16] ICMR, *Manual of standards of quality for drinking water supplies. Indian council of Medical Research, Spe.Rep.No. 44:27, (1975)*

IJSER